

October 14, 2020

ARTICLE

International Chamber of Commerce to update Rules of Arbitration in January 2021

The International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) in Paris is one of the leading international arbitration institutions in the world, with an ever-increasing caseload. In 2019, the ICC administered a record 869 cases with nearly all of those cases progressing under the ICC Rules of Arbitration (ICC Rules).

The ICC periodically updates the ICC Rules following broad consultations with users and other stakeholders, with the last version released in 2017. The next version will come into force on January, 1 2021. While many of the amendments are minor and are intended to improve the ICC Rules' practical operation, a few changes are substantive and merit closer examination. In particular, users of the ICC Rules should be aware of the following key changes, which are directed at assisting in navigating conflicts issues, improving the balance between efficiency and party autonomy, and modernizing certain procedural rules and practices.

Assisting with conflicts

- 1. Third Party Funding: the new version of the ICC Rules expressly anticipates the possibility of funded parties and, for the purposes of assisting arbitrators in navigating their ethical obligations, introduces a requirement for parties to disclose the existence and identity of funders with an economic interest in the outcome of the case (Article 11(7)).
- 2. Nationality of arbitrators: to avoid the semblance of a conflict arising from nationality, the new ICC Rules indicate that, as a default rule, sole arbitrators and tribunal presidents will not share a nationality of one of the parties. In treaty-based cases, the ICC Rules preclude any arbitrator from sharing the nationality of a party, unless the parties agree otherwise (Article 13(5)-(6)).
- 3. Exclusion of party representatives: the new version of the ICC Rules empowers the arbitral tribunal to exclude certain party representatives from participating in whole or in part in the proceedings in order to avoid a conflict of interest arising from a change in representation (Article 17(2)). A change in representation can be disruptive in any event; the addition of this provision aims to minimize the disruption in cases where it introduces a potential conflict.

Rebalancing party autonomy

- 1. Tribunal Appointments: the new version of the ICC Rules permit the ICC Court to appoint the full arbitral tribunal in exceptional circumstances to avoid a significant risk of unequal treatment and unfairness that may affect the validity of the award (Article 12(9)).
- 2. Joinder: multiparty arbitrations can pose challenges when the consent to arbitration among the parties is inconsistent or based upon incompatible agreements. The ICC is unable to amend the parties' consent to arbitrate. However, under the new Article 7(5), the tribunal may join third parties to the proceedings at a later stage, provided that the third party consents to the arbitration (and Terms of Reference) and that the tribunal has given due consideration to a number of potentially relevant factors, including its jurisdiction, possible conflicts, the timing of the joinder, and the impact on the arbitral procedure.

Updating procedural rules

- 1. Hearings by videoconference: in response to improved technology and the COVID-19 pandemic, the updated rules make it clear that tribunals may hold any hearings (including final hearings) remotely by videoconference or teleconference (Article 26(1)). As a cautionary note, this development provides welcome confirmation of the procedural options available to tribunals but does not necessarily ensure the enforceability of awards in all jurisdictions worldwide.
- 2. Additional Awards: to balance the need for finality in arbitration and the need for an additional award in certain circumstances (even after the issuance of a final award), the new version of the ICC Rules allows a party to apply for an additional award. In these circumstances, the tribunal may issue an additional award to address an issue that it may have omitted in the final award before the tribunal becomes *functus officio* and no longer has the jurisdiction to resolve the relevant dispute (Article 36(3)-(4)).
- 3. Application of Expedited Rules: the ICC Expedited Procedure Rules were an important addition to previous iterations of the ICC Rules. They have been updated in the recent version to be applicable to disputes under US\$3 million and to be inapplicable to treaty-based arbitrations, where states or state-controlled entities are likely to be involved.


Overall, the new version of the ICC Rules should improve the operation of the ICC Rules in certain circumstances without significantly revising their overall content or purpose. ICC arbitration will continue to provide a dispute resolution procedure that offers a trusted international standard process, while retaining the tribunal's significant discretion to tailor the procedure to the specific circumstances of the case.


For further information, please contact:


By: [Hugh A. Meighen](#), [Robert J.C. Deane](#), [Craig Chiasson](#)

Services: [Disputes](#), [International Arbitration](#)

Hugh A. Meighen
Partner


 Toronto


 HMeighen@blg.com

 [416.367.6614](tel:416.367.6614)


Sarah McEachern
Partner

 Vancouver


 SMcEachern@blg.com

 [604.632.3471](tel:604.632.3471)

Robert J.C. Deane
Partner

 Vancouver


 RDeane@blg.com

 [604.640.4250](tel:604.640.4250)

Craig Chiasson
Partner

 Vancouver

 CChiasson@blg.com

 [604.640.4221](tel:604.640.4221)