

# Federal Court of Appeal Upholds Protective Orders Preventing Company President from Viewing Opposing Party's Confidential Business Information

October 22, 2018

## ***Arkipelago Architecture Inc. v. Enghouse Systems Limited, 2018 FCA 192***

In relation to a copyright dispute, the Federal Court of Appeal (FCA) dismissed Arkipelago's appeal from a decision of the Federal Court, which dismissed Arkipelago's appeal from the Case Management Judge's (CMJ's) protective order. Under this protective order, Arkipelago's president and sole employee, Mr. O'Hara, was not allowed access to any information designated by the respondents as for "counsel's and expert's eyes only — highly confidential information" (CEEEO).

In front of the CMJ, Arkipelago argued that access to the confidential information (CI), which included computer source code, and client and financial information, was necessary for Mr. O'Hara to instruct counsel. The CMJ was unpersuaded by these "bald assertions", finding that there was a real and substantial risk of Mr. O'Hara subconsciously or inadvertently using the CI in future business activities.

The FCA decided that the Federal Court and the CMJ did not adopt a lower standard of risk than is required to justify a CEEEO order. The FCA stated that such orders should only be granted in unusual circumstances. In the context of harm to a commercial business interest, a CEEEO is warranted where the disclosure of CI may result in a serious threat or risk that is real, substantial, and grounded in the evidence. This was no different than the standard adopted by the Federal Court and the CMJ.

The FCA then decided that there was no palpable and overriding error in the Federal Court's decision that the evidence supported the CMJ's finding that this legal test had been met. There was a well-founded concern that Mr. O'Hara, being the only employee of the corporation, would subconsciously use the CI. This use would have obvious and significant consequences for the respondent given the small and highly competitive field in which both parties work. Furthermore, the lack of particularity regarding why the respondent's CI was necessary for the appellant to instruct counsel supported the CMJ's finding. Therefore, the appeal was dismissed.

Expertise

[Intellectual Property](#), [Copyright](#), [Industrial Design](#), [Licensing](#), [Patents](#), [Trademarks](#)

---

## **BLG | Canada's Law Firm**

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### **BLG Offices**

#### **Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### **Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### **Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### **Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### **Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.