

Nurse Disciplined for Unprofessional Posts on Social Media Loses Appeal

May 30, 2018

Background

In 2015, Strom posted comments on Facebook and Twitter relating to the end-of-life care that her grandfather received at St. Joseph's Health facility in Saskatchewan. In her comments, Strom criticized the competence and professionalism of staff — including other nurses — who worked at the facility.

The Discipline Committee of the Saskatchewan Registered Nurses' Association (the "Discipline Committee") ruled that in publicly posting the comments, Strom engaged in professional misconduct, imposing a \$1,000 fine and ordering Strom to pay \$25,000 for the costs of the proceedings.

[View Strom's Online Comments ↓](#)

Discipline Committee Decision

The Discipline Committee concluded that Strom's off-duty conduct was subject to discipline because she identified herself as a registered nurse when making comments on Facebook and Twitter with the purpose of adding credibility and legitimacy to them. Strom herself admitted that, being an advocate nurse, she had been motivated to make the comments online and had also made them known to both the provincial Minister of Health and Leader of Opposition.

The Discipline Committee's primary concern related to Strom criticizing the care provided by other nurses without knowing or endeavoring to know all the facts. Strom had attended the facility only a handful of times each year and had little direct knowledge of the care her grandfather received there — her online comments were based on information provided by her relatives.

The Discipline Committee accepted that Strom had not been motivated by malice, but perhaps by grief and anger but found that nonetheless she was bound to act professionally. Further, as the comments on social media needlessly harmed the reputation of the nursing staff at St. Joseph's and undermined the public confidence in

them, they amounted to professional misconduct. The Discipline Committee relied, in part, on various publications that remind nurses that their online content and behaviour are subject to the same ethical and professional standards that have always applied to the profession.

The Discipline Committee also took into account Strom's right to freedom of expression as guaranteed by the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, making it clear that it does not seek to "muzzle" nurses from using social media but to ensure they conduct themselves professionally when communicating online.

In balancing the conflicting interests at stake, the Discipline Committee was sensitive to the nature and extent of the harm caused by Ms. Strom's online comments, and to the right to express concerns. It concluded that Strom should have used other available avenues to voice her criticisms and avoid harming the reputation of other nurses.

Comment

On appeal, the Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench upheld the decision of the Discipline Committee. The court determined that the Discipline Committee's decision is reasonable and falls within the acceptable range of possible outcomes. Strom's lawyer has said they will be appealing to the Saskatchewan Court of Appeal.

The Discipline Committee and court decisions in *Strom* have attracted a lot of attention. Strom's legal proceedings have been closely followed by nurses across the country, many of whom have now signed an open letter saying that the Disciplinary Committee's decision will silence nurses who speak up about patient care. In addition, hundreds of people across Canada and the United States signed an online petition calling for the decision to be reversed and an online fundraiser has raised more than \$27,000 to cover the penalty and costs levied against Strom.

Some commentators say the case reflects an undue restriction of a nurse's right to freedom of expression. This concern is the reason why the Saskatchewan Union of Nurses and the British Columbia Civil Liberties Association intervened before the Saskatchewan Court of Queen's Bench.

Whether or not the decision in *Strom* will be overturned on appeal is difficult to predict. But in the age of social media, the intersection of (and boundaries between) professional responsibility and personal freedom of expression is an eminently relevant social question, which we expect different administrative tribunals and courts will continue to try to answer in a variety of ways.

By

[Daniel Girlando](#), [Daniel Ciarabellini](#)

Expertise

[Health Care & Life Sciences](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.