

Bill C-47: Mandatory tax disclosure requirements for taxpayers, promoters and advisors

August 26, 2025

This article was originally published on Aug. 15, 2023, and was updated on Aug. 26, 2025.

Bill C-47, [*An Act to implement certain provisions of the budget tabled in Parliament on March 28, 2023*](#) (Bill C-47), received royal assent on June 22, 2023. Bill C-47 includes a major expansion of the mandatory disclosure rules for “reportable transactions” and the introduction of disclosure requirements for “notifiable transactions.”

These rules require reporting to the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) of the reportable transaction within 90 days after the earlier of the date that the taxpayer is contractually obligated to enter the transaction and the date that the taxpayer enters into the transaction, and are applicable to transactions entered into after June 22, 2023. The rules are intended to provide the CRA with information to respond to tax risks, but will impose onerous administrative, analysis, and reporting requirements on taxpayers, promoters, and advisors.

Reportable transactions

Reporting obligations under bill C-47 exist in respect of “reportable transactions”. There are two elements that encompass a “reportable transaction”: (1) there must be an “avoidance transaction”; and (2) the transaction must include one of three particular hallmarks. As described in more detail below, the three hallmarks are broadly categorized as:

1. Contingent fees
2. Confidential protection
3. Contractual protection

A transaction is an “avoidance transaction” if it may reasonably be considered that one of the main purposes of the transaction, or of a series of transactions of which the transaction is a part, is to obtain a tax benefit. The definition of “tax benefit” is quite

broad and includes any reduction, avoidance, or deferral of tax, an increase in a tax refund, and the preservation or creation of a tax account for use at a later time.

For the purposes of these rules, an “advisor” includes any person who has provided assistance or advice with respect to a transaction. A “promoter” includes a person who is promoting or selling a plan, scheme, or arrangement in respect of a transaction.

Hallmarks

Contingent fees

The contingency fee hallmark is applicable where an advisor or promoter has an entitlement to a fee (i) based on the amount of a tax benefit, (ii) contingent on obtaining a tax benefit, or (iii) based on the number of persons participating in the type of transaction.

The explanatory notes and CRA guidance have confirmed that the following items (among others) are not sufficient, in and of themselves, to give rise to a reporting obligation under this hallmark:

- “Value billing” by professionals, where a fee is based on value criteria other than the value of tax benefits, as well as fees based on an annual income tax return or preparation and filing of a certain number of election forms.
- Standard fees collected by financial institutions for the provision of ordinary financial accounts (the CRA has indicated acceptance of specific items such as a normal per-transaction charge for each security trade in the context of a year-end tax-loss selling program).
- A fee for the claiming of the scientific research and experimental development (SR&ED) tax credits.

Taxpayers and their advisors should consider the reportable transaction rules any time the fees charged are based on a contingent element or appear outside of ordinary commercial practices. Critical thinking will need to be applied in this context, as many innocuous situations involving alternative fee arrangements may be caught by the rules, despite the various CRA administrative exceptions.

Confidential protection

The confidential protection hallmark is applicable where an advisor or promoter obtains “confidential protection” in respect of the tax treatment of a transaction from:

- i. In the case of an advisor, a person to whom the advisor has provided assistance or advice in respect of the transaction.
- ii. In the case of a promoter, from a person to whom an arrangement, plan, or scheme has been sold. “Confidential protection” is defined as anything prohibiting disclosure of the details or structure of a transaction that provides confidentiality.

A typical example of confidential protection would be a non-disclosure agreement. Fortunately, the CRA has confirmed that there should be no reporting requirement for the mere protection of trade secrets that do not relate to tax. However, care should be

exercised where a transaction might have tax implications for the applicable party, such as structuring in the context of a purchase and sale transaction or an internal reorganization.

Taxpayers, advisors, and promoters should revisit their non-disclosure agreements, engagement letters, and other similar agreements, having regard to these rules.

Contractual protection

The contractual protection hallmark will be met where the taxpayer, a person who entered into the transaction on behalf of the taxpayer, or an advisor or promoter obtains “contractual protection” in respect of the transaction. “Contractual protection” includes any form of insurance or protection that:

- i. Protects a person against the failure of the transaction to obtain a tax benefit; or
- ii. Reimburses a person for any fees, expenses, taxes, interest, penalties, or similar amounts incurred in the course of a dispute relating to a tax benefit realized from the transaction.

A typical example of contractual protection would be an indemnity, contract, or guarantee that limits a person’s loss. The CRA has confirmed that the following items should generally not be included in this hallmark:

- Normal professional liability insurance of a tax practitioner.
- Standard representations, warranties, and guarantees between a vendor and purchaser, including insurance policies, obtained in the ordinary commercial context of M&A transactions to protect a purchaser from pre-sale liabilities (including tax liabilities).
- Contractual protection in the form of insurance that is integral to an agreement between persons acting at arm’s length for the sale of a business where it is reasonable to conclude that the insurance protection is intended to ensure that the purchase price paid under the agreement takes into account any liabilities of the business immediately prior to the sale, and the insurance is obtained primarily for purposes other than to obtain a tax benefit from the transaction or series.

Unfortunately, the CRA has yet to provide guidance as to the contractual protection found in many engagement letters of public accountants as well as indemnity clauses found in many trust deeds.

In any event, taxpayers and their advisors should consider the reportable transaction rules in the context of any indemnity or other contractual protections. Even for relatively innocuous transactions, taxpayers should ensure that a legislative or administrative exception applies.

Who must report?

The reporting obligations apply to:

1. All persons who receive or expect to receive a tax benefit from the transaction.

2. Persons who enter into a transaction to provide such a tax benefit to another person.
3. Advisors and promoters in respect of the transaction who either (i) receive fees that are caught by the fee hallmark, or (ii) receive fees in respect of contractual protection (for instance, receiving a fee for providing an indemnity or other downside protection).

Filings

CRA has released Form RC312, which must be filed no later than 90 days from the earlier that the taxpayer is contractually obligated to enter the transaction and the time the taxpayer enters into the transaction. Form RC312 was updated in July 2025 and can be [filed online through its dedicated CRA web page](#).

This form includes the requirement for a detailed description of all parties, the facts, and the tax consequences of the transaction. Each party relevant to a transaction must complete its own reporting; however, individual employees and partners will be relieved of the filing obligation if a filing is completed by the relevant employer or partnership.

Optional disclosure

Even if a transaction is not a reportable transaction, the taxpayer may still optionally file a Form RC312. If the transaction is later determined to be subject to the general anti-avoidance rule (GAAR), this optional disclosure will generally prevent (1) the usual 25 per cent GAAR penalty from being assessed, and (2) the statute-barred period for reassessment under the GAAR being extended by the usual three years. This optional disclosure can be made up to one year after the taxpayer's tax filing deadline for the taxation year in which the transaction occurred.

Notifiable transactions

Bill C-47 also introduced a requirement to file a return for a category of transactions; namely, “notifiable transactions.” These are specific transactions designated by the minister of National Revenue with the concurrence of the minister of Finance, and they are [listed online by the CRA](#). As of Aug. 2025, the minister has designated the following five notifiable transactions:

1. **NT-2023-01.** Straddle loss creation transactions using a partnership
2. **NT-2023-02.** Avoidance of deemed disposal of trust property
3. **NT-2023-03.** Manipulation of bankrupt status to reduce a forgiven amount in respect of a commercial obligation
4. **NT-2023-04.** Reliance on purpose tests in section 256.1 to avoid a deemed acquisition of control
5. **NT-2023-05.** Back-to-back arrangements

In addition to the above transactions identified by the CRA, a transaction which is the same as, or substantially similar to, any such transaction will also be a notifiable transaction. “Substantially similar” includes a transaction or series that leads to the same or similar “tax consequences,” and it is either factually similar or based on the

same (or similar) tax strategy. The CRA has indicated that the definition of “substantially similar” is to be broadly construed in favour of disclosure.

Who must report?

The reporting obligations apply to:

1. All persons who receive or expect to receive a tax benefit from the transaction.
2. Persons who enter into a transaction to provide such a tax benefit to another person.
3. Advisors and promoters in respect of the transaction.

The definition of advisor is particularly broad: each person who provides, directly or indirectly, in any manner whatsoever, any assistance or advice to another person (including any person who enters into the notifiable transaction for the benefit of another person) with respect to creating, developing, planning, organizing, or implementing the notifiable transaction. This could include, for example, investment advisors, brokers, agents, accountants, lawyers, and various other advisors on a transaction.

Unlike the reportable transaction rules, an advisor or promoter will have a reporting obligation for notifiable transactions even if they are not entitled to a contingent fee or contractual protection.

Filings

CRA has released Form RC312, which must be filed no later than 90 days from the earlier of the date that the taxpayer is contractually obligated to enter the transaction and the date that the taxpayer enters into the transaction. This form includes the requirement for a detailed description of the parties, the facts, and the tax consequences of the transaction. Each party relevant to a transaction must complete their own reporting; however, only one filing is required for each relevant employer or partnership.

Québec

Québec’s *Taxation Act* and *Mandatory Transaction Disclosure Regulation* establish mandatory disclosure obligations similar to the federal reportable transaction and notifiable transaction rules created by bill C-47.

Instead of “notifiable transactions,” Québec’s framework requires information returns to be filed for “determined transactions” designated by Québec’s minister of revenue and [published on Revenu Québec’s website](#). This reporting obligation also applies to any other transaction which is significantly similar to the form and substance of a determined transaction.

As of Aug. 2025, the minister has designated the following five determined transactions:

1. Avoidance of deemed disposal of trust property
2. Payment to a non-treaty country

3. Multiplication of the capital gains deduction
4. Tax attribute trading
5. Avoidance of deemed interest rule under section 462.12 of the Act through a stock dividend

The scope of mandatory disclosure in Québec is continuing to evolve. To aid taxpayers in better understanding the extent of their reporting obligations, Revenu Québec has published examples of transactions that are included or excluded under each of the five determined transactions. For example, on July 18, 2025, Revenu Québec published guidance stating that determined transaction #3 does not apply to share sales where the vendor and purchaser are dealing (or are deemed to be dealing) at arm's length if the contract includes a balance of sale clause, an earn-out clause, or a reverse earn-out clause. There are no mandatory disclosure requirements in respect of such excluded transactions.

The deadline for a Québec taxpayer to file a disclosure form (TP-1079.DI-V) is specific to each determined transaction. For example:

- For determined transaction #4, the disclosure form must be filed by the taxpayer's Québec tax filing deadline.
- For determined transaction #5, the disclosure form must be filed within 60 days of the date upon which the stock dividend is declared.

It is crucial to understand the differences between a “notifiable transaction” reportable to the CRA and a “determined transaction” reportable to Revenu Québec. A notifiable transaction for federal tax purposes is not necessarily a determined transaction for Québec tax purposes, and vice versa.

Penalties

Significant penalties apply for a failure to report under bill C-47's mandatory disclosure regime. For most taxpayers, the penalty is the greater of up to \$25,000 (\$500 per week) and 25 per cent of the tax benefit. For corporate taxpayers with a carrying value of at least \$50M, the penalty can be the greater of up to \$100,000 (\$2,000 per week) and 25 per cent of the tax benefit sought. These penalties are in addition to any tax, interest, and penalties that may be payable in the event of a reassessment of the transaction itself by the CRA.

For advisors or promoters, the penalties are the total of the (i) fees charged; (ii) \$10,000; and (iii) \$1,000 for each day that reporting has not been done to a maximum of \$100,000.

The limitation period for reassessment of avoidance transactions is suspended until the report is filed, and no limitation period applies for failure to report (that is, penalties under the reporting rules can be assessed at any time).

There is generally a due diligence defence available if the person has exercised the degree of care, diligence, and skill to prevent the failure to file that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised in comparable circumstances. This defence is not

available to advisors or promoters in respect of notifiable transactions and, instead, there is a “reasonable expectation to know” exception to the defence.

Solicitor-client privilege

Solicitor-client privilege is a quasi-constitutional right concerning oral or documentary communications passing between the client and the lawyer. Solicitor-client privilege is essential to the administration of justice, and the public’s confidence that matters communicated between a lawyer and client be held in confidence. The importance of solicitor-client privilege, not only to the client who claims it, but to society, has been consistently recognized by the Supreme Court of Canada (see, for example, [R v McClure, 2001 SCC 14](#) at paras 32-33).

Moreover, Canadian case law in tax-related matters has repeatedly recognized that solicitor-client privilege is not necessarily waived in situations where third parties who are not lawyers or legal professionals are involved in assisting a client to obtain legal advice. For example, communications between an accountant and a lawyer with respect to a common client may, in some cases, be protected by solicitor-client privilege.

Although the legislation now confirms that the reporting requirements will not apply if it is reasonable to believe that the information is covered by solicitor-client privilege, it does not exempt disclosure of non-privileged information, including, for example, the resulting legal agreements. It also requires an assessment of whether it is reasonable to believe that the information is covered by solicitor-client privilege.

As a result, privilege is an important issue to consider where there are various parties to a transaction, as privilege can be lost through communications to and amongst non-lawyers. Given that some or all parties may have reporting obligations, advice should be sought in connection with how to best manage information, and to identify and preserve privilege in these situations.

Takeaways

The reportable transaction and notifiable transaction rules under bill C-47 are extremely broad and impose onerous requirements on taxpayers, promoters and advisors. The rules still contain several gaps, which will cause them to apply in the context of ordinary commercial transactions, even where routine tax planning is involved. Accordingly, advice should be sought on these rules where there is any form of contingent fee, confidential protection, or contractual protection whatsoever, or where there is a notifiable transaction or similar transaction.

By

[Richard Eisenbraun](#), [Pamela L. Cross](#), [Ali Abdulla](#)

Expertise

[Tax](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.