

## BC Court of Appeal Declines to Include Ontario Residents in Settlement Class

January 06, 2020

The British Columbia Court of Appeal recently dealt with the issue of overlapping classes arising from a multi-jurisdictional class action commenced in British Columbia, Ontario, and Québec.

In *Wilson v DePuy International Ltd*, the plaintiff applied before the Supreme Court of British Columbia to have the action certified as a class action and for the approval of a settlement. The chambers judge concluded that the action should be certified, and that the settlement agreement was fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the British Columbia resident class, but he was not prepared to certify a class that included individuals who were already members of a class certified in Ontario.

The Court of Appeal dismissed an appeal of the chambers judge's decision on the basis that it was proper for the chambers judge to take into account the effect of a multi-jurisdictional class on the question of whether another more suitable procedure was available.

In 2010 and 2011, class actions involving a recalled hip replacement product began in Ontario, Québec, and British Columbia. Class counsel in all three actions agreed that the Ontario action would exclude residents of Québec and British Columbia from the proposed class.

The Ontario litigation, as a "near-national class," proceeded and was certified in August 2013.

In 2017, the plaintiff in the British Columbia action reached a settlement agreement with the defendant that included non-British Columbia residents on an opt-in basis. This proposed "opt-in class" was apparently contrary to the agreement between counsel.

The chambers judge considered whether the "opt-in class" should be included based on a preferability analysis, rather than the suitability of the class. In his analysis, the chambers judge identified a number of concerns, including the creation of overlapping classes, disruption of counsel agreements and the negative effect on judicial comity. Ultimately, the chambers judge determined that the existing Ontario action was the

preferable procedure to resolve the claims for class members outside of British Columbia and Québec.

The Court of Appeal upheld the chambers judge's decision. The Court referred to recently added subsections 4(3) and 4(4) of the amended Class Proceedings Act, which state that the court must determine whether it would be preferable for some or all of the claims of the proposed class members to be resolved in a proceeding commenced elsewhere.

The Court held that the question of whether the chambers judge erred by considering the Ontario proceedings as the preferable procedure was now answered clearly in the legislation. Further, even prior to the enactment of subsections 4(3) and 4(4), it was **proper for a certification judge's discretionary decision on preferability to take into account the effect of a multi-jurisdictional class on the question of whether another more suitable procedure was available.**

In determining whether the chambers judge erred in rejecting the settlement, the Court of Appeal noted the potential for chaos and confusion when there are overlapping classes. Although there is no general principle that overlapping classes must be avoided, in cases such as this one, they can create chaos and confusion and undermine judicial economy. The overlap in this case would disrupt counsel agreements and the process and approach taken in Ontario. Additionally, judicial economy could not be achieved by having overlapping claims relating to the same subject matter.

By

[Jake Cabott](#)

Expertise

[Class Actions](#), [Appellate Advocacy](#), [Disputes](#)

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

## BLG Offices

### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

### Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.