

# CSA Provides Guidance On Securities Law Implications For Token Offerings

June 20, 2018

On June 11, 2018, the Canadian Securities Administrators (the "CSA") issued [CSA Staff Notice 46-308 – Securities Law Implications for Offering of Tokens](#) (the "Notice"). The Notice provides additional guidance on the applicability of securities laws to offerings of coins and tokens, including those commonly referred to as "utility tokens." The Notice provides examples of situations where the CSA has determined that the offering is a security and draws special attention to establishing the criteria of investment contracts. The Notice also advises businesses to consult qualified securities legal counsel about the potential application of, and possible approaches required to comply with, securities legislation.

## Background

Cryptocurrencies are increasingly coming within the purview of securities regulators. In August 2017, the CSA published [Staff Notice 46-307 – Cryptocurrency Offerings](#) (SN 46-307), which confirmed that many cryptocurrency offerings involve the sales of securities.

Since the publication of SN 46-307, the CSA Staff has engaged with numerous businesses, and their advisors, who wish to complete offerings of coins or tokens. From their discussions, the CSA found that most of the offerings met the criteria for a security. The current Notice supplements SN 46-307, and attempts to provide additional guidance to businesses who may find themselves having to adhere to securities regulations. Specifically, the Notice (1) provides examples of situations where a token may be subject to securities laws, and (2) addresses multiple step token offerings.

## Key Points of the Notice

When an offering of tokens may involve an offering of securities

The Notice states that a token or coin may fall within the definition of a "security" if it constitutes an investment contract. In determining whether the offering is that of an investment contract, a purposive interpretation that includes considering the objective of investor protection should be used. Additionally, businesses and their advisors are

directed to apply the case law interpretation of investment contract, which includes considering whether the offering involves: (1) an investment of money, (2) in a common enterprise, (3) with the expectation of profit, (4) to come significantly from the effort of others.

The Notice stressed that every offering is unique and must be assessed on its own characteristics. Businesses and their advisors should assess not only the technical characteristics of the token, but the economic realities for the offering as a whole, with a focus on substance over form.

### Examples of where a token may constitute an investment contract

The Notice provides illustrative examples of situations in which tokens might meet certain investment contract criteria. The examples presented in the Notice should not be used as a mechanical checklist, instead businesses and their advisors should complete a meaningful analysis based on the totality of the circumstances of the offering.

The Notice draws particular attention to situations involving utility tokens. The CSA received submissions that proposed offerings of utility tokens do not involve securities because the tokens have a specific function, such as in software or an online platform. However, the Notice states that most of the tokens the CSA assessed involved the distribution of a security based on the application of case law. Therefore, the fact that a token has utility is not determinative as to whether the offering involves the distribution of a security. For example, looking at whether the purchaser of the tokens has an intention to sell them on a secondary platform or trading market may be indicative of an expectation of profit under the investment contract criteria.

### Offering of tokens that are structured in multiple steps

The Notice also provides that securities regulations may apply to offerings of tokens that are structured in multiple steps. The Notice outlines an example of a multiple-step transaction and provides guidance as to how securities law may apply.

Step 1: The purchaser agrees to contribute money in exchange for a right to receive **tokens at a future date. A “simple agreement for future tokens,” or “SAFT,” typically governs the future transaction. At this step, there is usually a distribution of a security – specifically, the right to a future token. If there is a security at this stage, issuers typically rely on a prospectus exemption.**

Step 2: The token is delivered to the purchaser, as outlined in the SAFT. At this step, the issuer has generally represented that the software or platform is built or the goods or services are available, and the token is functional. The CSA states that it may consider that a token delivered at this second step or a later step is a security, despite the fact that it may have some utility. This is because the token likely fulfills the criteria of an investment contract or has other security-like attributes, such as a profit sharing interest.

### Complying with securities legislation

The Notice acknowledges that trends in the cryptocurrency industry are evolving quickly and encourages businesses and their advisors to consult qualified securities legal counsel about the potential application of, and approaches to complying with, securities

legislation. Applicable securities law requirements include, among other things, reliance upon available prospectus requirements and related resale restrictions as well as compliance with dealer registration requirements. The Notice also recommends that businesses explore possible flexible approaches to complying with securities laws, including time-limited exemptive relief from certain securities law requirements. Consultation with the CSA Regulatory Sandbox, an initiative of the CSA that supports fintech businesses, is another option for businesses looking to engage in cryptocurrencies.

## Conclusion

The Notice is a useful supplement to SN 46-307 as it provides additional examples of where certain tokens or coins may be considered securities. When considering whether offering token meets the criteria of an investment contract, the CSA stresses the importance of a purposive interpretation that includes considering the objective of investor protection. Further, the CSA emphasizes that even if the proposed function of a token is utility, it may still meet the criteria for an investment contract if an expectation of profit, or other criteria are established. It is evident that the application of securities laws to cryptocurrencies will continue to advance and that businesses should protect themselves by consulting with qualified securities legal counsel and exploring more flexible approaches through the CSA Regulatory Sandbox.

## Contact Us

If you have any questions regarding cryptocurrencies, digital currencies and whether you may trade in such instruments, please contact one of the authors of this bulletin or any member of the [BLG Cryptocurrency and Blockchain Group](#).

### About BLG's Cryptocurrency and Blockchain Practice

Our Cryptocurrency and Blockchain Group is comprised of lawyers across the country whose practices are based in a variety of legal areas, including corporate finance, investment management, derivatives, registration and regulatory compliance, FinTech, anti-money laundering and intellectual property and technology. Read more about our expertise and experience [on our website](#).

By

[Jason Brooks](#), [Julie Mansi](#), [Manoj Pundit](#), [Kristin Ostler](#)

Expertise

[Capital Markets](#)

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

## BLG Offices

### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

### Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.