

# Driving into the future: U.K. announces regulatory scheme for the use of automated vehicles

December 14, 2023

## Introduction

On Nov. 8, 2023, [a U.K. Parliamentary bill](#) aimed at facilitating and regulating the safe implementation of self-driving vehicles was introduced. The proposed framework will apply to vehicle systems that are capable of driving with no human input and will identify the legal entities responsible for the safety of these self-driving systems. The Automatic Vehicles Bill (the Bill) is scheduled to begin its second reading in the House of Lords on Nov. 28, 2023. The U.K. Bill provides helpful insights for Canadian regulators to ready our legal framework for the deployment of automated vehicles.

## Establishing a regulatory scheme for automated vehicles

A “self-driving test” is set out early in the Bill to legally identify vehicle features that are sufficiently adapted to allow a vehicle to travel autonomously for some or all of a journey, without the need for human monitoring. The Bill gives the Secretary of State for Transport broad powers within the regulatory scheme to design an authorization process for determining whether a vehicle meets the self-driving test. Such authorization also involves other regulatory requirements including the reporting of relevant safety data. In general, the authorization process is required to consider whether a vehicle can legally and safely drive itself without human monitoring or intervention. All authorized vehicles must have an “authorized self-driving entity” who will be accountable for the safety of the vehicle and maintaining compliance with any regulatory obligations.

Additionally, “no-user-in-charge” (NUiC) vehicles that do not require an individual to be in the vehicle at all, are to be overseen by a licensed NUiC operator. Oversight by the operator will involve knowing where the vehicles are and maintaining the vehicles for safe operation.

Crucially, the Bill mandates the establishment of a “Statement of Safety Principles,” serving as a benchmark for evaluating the safety of self-driving vehicles. The Secretary

of State must adhere to these safety principles during both the authorization process and ongoing monitoring of self-driving vehicles. The proper functioning of this safety framework relies heavily on extensive access to information and investigation rights given to the Secretary of State.

Authorized self-driving entities and NUIC operators may be subject to regulatory sanctions should they fail to meet regulatory requirements or if a vehicle under their **control commits a traffic infraction. Ultimately, this scheme shifts the legal responsibility** for self-driving vehicles away from the human user and toward the companies developing and operating such vehicles.

## Liability for vehicle use

Where the self-driving feature of a vehicle requires a responsible human to be in the vehicle and in a position to operate the driving controls, the Bill defines that human as a **“user-in-charge.”** The Bill strictly outlines circumstances where the user-in-charge cannot be held legally liable for offences arising from the way the vehicle is driven. **While a vehicle’s self-driving feature is engaged, a user-in-charge is not responsible for** controlling the vehicle through steering, accelerating, braking, signalling, or monitoring the driving environment. The Bills grants Users-in-charge immunity from serious road traffic offences and cannot be held liable for criminal offences which arise from self-driving activities. Liability for road traffic offences will fall on the authorized self-driving entities and NUIC operators where a self-driving feature is engaged at the time of the offence. However, users-in-Charge will maintain liability for responsibilities unrelated to the manner of driving, such as ensuring the roadworthiness of the vehicle or that proper insurance is held.

## Accountability for offences and policing

The Bill outlines specific sanctions and penalties for non-compliance, most prominently related to the vehicle safety information requirements of authorized self-driving entities and NUIC operators. Additional sanctions or penalties are outlined to manage the dangerous use of vehicles by end users or the tampering with vehicles by third parties. Fines, corrective actions, suspension of operations, and criminal charges in serious cases may apply to offences under this Bill. Further, provisions in the Bill enable existing policing powers to be exercised against automated vehicles and allow for the appointment of inspectors in relation to automated vehicle incidents. Inspectors are appointed for the purpose of carrying out safety investigations to increase the understanding of such incidents and reduce risks of harm from the use of automated vehicles.

## Marketing restrictions and consumer protection

To ensure the proper understanding and use by drivers and users-in-charge, the Bill introduces new offences related to the marketing of self-driving vehicles. The Secretary of State is given the power to designate the use of certain words, expressions, symbols, **or marks for use only in connection with marketing “authorized” self-driving vehicles.** Therefore, only vehicles that meet the safety threshold outlined by the Secretary of State may be marketed as self-driving. These marketing restrictions will also assist

consumers in differentiating between vehicles that are “self-driving” and vehicles that simply provide driver assistance.

## Conclusion and takeaways

The Automated Vehicles Bill presents a comprehensive and forward-thinking legal framework designed to navigate the integration of self-driving vehicles onto the roads of the United Kingdom. This legislation addresses crucial aspects of automated vehicle regulation, emphasizing safety and accountability while still considering the economic growth potential of this industry.

Ultimately, this legislation not only paves the way for the integration of automated vehicles, it also sets a precedent for proactive, adaptable regulatory frameworks that can effectively govern technological advancements in the future. It remains to be seen what regulatory approach Canada will adopt to ready its regulatory framework for the deployment of automated vehicles.

By

[Marin Leci](#), [Edona C. Vila](#), [Cailin te Stroete](#)

Expertise

[Transportation](#), [Autonomous Vehicles](#), [Automotive](#), [Public Infrastructure](#)

---

### BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### BLG Offices

#### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

**Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

**Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.