

No-fault insurance regime: Moral damages, loss of wages and bodily injury resulting from automobile accidents

November 25, 2024

The scope of the no-fault liability regime under the [Automobile Insurance Act \(AIA\)](#) was delineated by the Superior Court of Québec in [Roberge c. Compagnie General Motors du Canada, 2023 QCCS 4309](#).

This decision summarizes the criteria for applying the AIA rules governing automobile accidents causing injury. It sets a precedent providing a clear interpretive reference regarding the damages that may or may not be covered by the scope of the AIA's no-fault liability regime.

Details of the various damages claimed

In her originating application, the applicant had claimed over \$6 million from General Motors of Canada (GM) following a serious motor vehicle accident. The applicant stated that, during the accident, her vehicle's airbags failed to deploy when a second vehicle collided with her driver-side door.

In connection with this accident, the applicant asked the Court to grant her:

- The costs of repairing her vehicle;
- Cancellation of her lease;
- Punitive damages;
- Damages for the intangible injury she suffered by being deprived of a safe vehicle and no longer being able to enjoy certain activities;
- Compensation for lost wages caused by the accident;
- Psychological damages;
- Damages for loss of earning capacity.

Case law on the no-fault liability regime and details of the decision

Section 83.57 of the AIA stipulates that persons eligible for compensation from the Société de l'assurance automobile du Québec (SAAQ) may not bring a civil action to be compensated for the same injury.

As stated in [Godbout v. Pagé, 2017 SCC 18](#), a fault committed by a third party in an automobile accident does not give rise to a remedy against it provided that there is a plausible, logical and sufficiently close link between the bodily injury and the accident. **Note that case law is consistent in treating each third party on an equal footing when enforcing the regime, whether it be the manufacturer, the person who caused the accident or even a third party related to the latter.**

On the basis that the AIA must be interpreted broadly and liberally,¹ Justice Cossette, presiding over the case, found that all [translation] “economic damages and those relating to quality of life damages”² constitute bodily injury within the meaning of subsection 83.57 (1) of the AIA. In addition, the principles of interpretation dictate that even moral and exemplary damages that are related to the initial bodily injury resulting from a motor vehicle accident cannot be the subject of a non-AIA claim.³

Accordingly, the only damage resulting from an automobile accident that may give rise to a civil liability action is property damage, excluding damage related to bodily injury.⁴

Any damage resulting from and retaining a causal connection with an automobile accident will be classified as bodily injury under the AIA. For example, the Court considered in this case that stress, moral damages, loss of wages, punitive damages and loss of enjoyment were included in this definition. Consequently, for all these damages, no action could be brought against a person involved in the accident or against a third party.

Since all the damages claimed were closely related to the applicant's initial bodily injury resulting from the automobile accident, the judge concluded that such a claim was ill founded in law and confirmed its inadmissibility.

Contact us

If you have any questions about this article or other aspects of auto insurance indemnification, feel free to reach out to the contacts below or, depending on the province, any counsel in BLG's [Insurance Claims Defence](#) and [Automotive](#) groups.

Footnotes

¹ [Productions Pram inc. c. Lemay, \[1992\] R.J.Q. 1738 \(C.A.\)](#).

² [Patrice c. Automobile Renault Canada Ltée, 2006 QCCA 1111](#), paras. 24 and 29, application for leave to appeal dismissed (S.C.C., 2007-02-22) 31683.

³ [Société de l'assurance automobile du Québec c. Ville de Montréal, 2022 QCCA 1165](#), paras. 25 and 32.

⁴ Patrice c. Automobile Renault Canada Ltée, supra.

By

[Stéphane Pitre](#), [Mathieu Lacasse](#)

Expertise

[Disputes](#), [Insurance Claim Defence](#), [Insurance](#), [Automotive](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.