

# Human Rights Tribunal of Alberta dismisses mask complaint

September 17, 2021

In *Szeles v. Costco Wholesale Canada Ltd.*, the decision from the Human Rights Tribunal of Alberta marked a positive step for employers in retail settings that implemented comprehensive and scientifically based mandatory masking policies that also accommodated customers with disabilities.

The Chief of the Commission and Tribunals noted that the decision in this case was based on the employer's policy in force in November 2020 with information before the tribunal.

## Background

Costco Canada instituted a mandatory masking policy in response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. The complainant was an individual who identifies as having a disability that prevents him from wearing a face mask. On the date of the incident that gave rise to this claim, the complainant entered the store located in Edmonton, Alberta **without a face mask. The store's staff indicated to the complainant that he was not able to enter the store without a face mask, pursuant to its mandatory masking policy and the ongoing pandemic.**

The complainant responded that he was exempt from the masking requirement because of his disability. The store staff indicated that the complainant could not enter the store without a face mask, but offered that the complainant could use a face shield instead. Costco Canada also provided for alternative accommodations, including online shopping and home delivery options. The complainant refused these accommodations. The police were called, and the complainant was eventually removed from the store. He alleged discrimination against Costco Canada on the ground of a physical disability.

## Holding

The complaint was dismissed by the Human Rights Tribunal of Alberta. The restrictions developed by the employer were reasonable and justifiable in light of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. The policy was introduced for a valid purpose, and there was no evidence to suggest that it was instituted in bad faith. The employer provided

information from local and international health organizations that face shields may be an alternative to, albeit not as effective as, face masks. The complainant was not able to demonstrate that the other accommodations offered by the employer, such as online shopping, would impose any serious limitation on him.

If you have questions regarding how your COVID-19 policies may impact your business, contact our Labour and Employment team or any of the contacts listed below.

Special thanks to BLG student [Mark Muccilli](#) for his contribution to this article.

By

[Adam Guy](#), [Mark Muccilli](#)

Expertise

[Labour & Employment](#)

---

## **BLG | Canada's Law Firm**

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](#)

### **BLG Offices**

#### **Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### **Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### **Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### **Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### **Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription

preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.