

# U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement: Business Insights On Intellectual Property Issues

October 22, 2018

On September 30, 2018, Canada, the United States and Mexico reached an agreement on what is essentially a revised NAFTA. The new agreement will be renamed the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA). For preliminary insights on the USMCA, [please see our previous publication](#). The text of the agreement is currently undergoing a legal review for accuracy, clarity, and consistency. This note focuses on the intellectual property issues in Chapter 20, the provisions of which are not expected to change substantively.

## General Information and Key Changes

While the majority of the intellectual property chapter is consistent with the current Canadian intellectual property regime and the anticipated new trademark regime that will likely come into force in early 2019, Canada will be required under the USMCA to make a number of significant changes to its intellectual property laws. The key changes include:

- Increasing data protection for biologic drugs from eight years to ten years;
- Providing for a patent term adjustment for delays at the patent office in issuing patents;
- Increasing copyright protection by 20 years, from life of the author plus 50 years to life of the author plus 70 years.

## What Do the Intellectual Property Provisions of the USMCA Mean to Businesses?

### Patents

#### Patent Term Adjustment

The USMCA will require Canada to provide to patent owners an adjustment to their patent term to compensate for unreasonable delays at the patent office in issuing the

patent. The adjustment is meant to compensate for such delays, although the treaty text does not mention a minimum or maximum term for such an adjustment.

An unreasonable delay is defined to include a delay in issuance of the patent of more than five years from the date of filing or three years from request for examination of the application, whichever is later.

Parties are allowed to exclude periods of time that do not occur during processing or examination, and periods of time that are not directly attributable to the granting authority, as well as periods of time that are attributable to the patent applicant.

This adjustment period is not limited by industry or patent classification. As a result, it should be available to all patentees in Canada.

This patent term adjustment will apply to all patent applications filed after the date of entry into force of the Agreement, or two years after the signing, whichever is later for that Party. Thus, it will not apply to all pending patents. Furthermore, Canada will have 4.5 years from the date the agreement enters into force to implement these provisions. As a result, it may be some time before we know more precisely how Canada will give effect to these adjustments.

## **Data Protection for Biologic Drugs**

The USMCA will also require Canada to increase data protection for new pharmaceutical products that are or contain a biologic. The new period will be ten years from the date of first marketing approval of the product in Canada.

**A “biologic” is defined as “a product that is produced using biotechnology processes and that is, or alternatively, contains, a virus, therapeutic serum, toxin, antitoxin, vaccine, blood, blood component or derivative, allergenic product, protein or analogous product, for use in human beings for the prevention, treatment, or cure of a disease or condition”.**

The text appears to provide a transition provision with a limited exemption to the new ten year data protection period. To fall within this exemption:

- the third party (biosimilar) request must be made by March 23, 2020;
- Canada must already have other biosimilars approved in the same class, and they must have been approved on the basis of a third party comparison to the confidential information submitted or the marketing approval itself; and
- those previous approvals must have been made prior to the date the Agreement enters into force in Canada.

Essentially, it seems that if a biosimilar manufacturer files its submission prior to March 23, 2020 and another biosimilar in the same class is or was approved prior to the date the Agreement enters into force in Canada, the current eight year data protection will apply, rather than the new ten year period.

Canada has until five years after the date the Agreement enters into force to implement this obligation. However, based on a cross-cutting provision on the application of the chapter to existing subject matter, it appears that these provisions should apply to

biologics that have already been approved and whose data protection period has not expired, subject to the exception noted.

## Copyright

### Terms of Copyright Protection

The USMCA will require Canada to increase the length of copyright protection in a work by 20 years, from life of the author plus 50 years to life of the author plus 70 years. The rights for performances and sound recordings will need to be extended by five years, from 70 to 75 years.

The change in protection terms may provide significant economic benefits to copyright owners, particularly if there is significant value in the copyrighted material. On the other hand, it may increase costs of business for users intending to use soon-to-be expired copyrighted materials.

The timing of this change will be particularly interesting with respect to copyrighted materials that will be expiring in the next few years, as Canada will have a 2.5 year period to implement this obligation following the date of entry into force of the USMCA. If copyright expires before the changes come into effect, it appears that the expired materials will remain in the public domain.

### Criminal Remedies for Rights Management Information

The USMCA requires both civil remedies and criminal procedures and penalties to be applied for (1) circumventing technological protection measures (TPM) and (2) altering or removing Rights Management Information (RMI) without the owner's consent.

Currently, the Copyright Act provides both civil and criminal implications for circumventing TPMs but only civil remedies for altering or removing RMI. The potential criminal consequences can act as a deterrent against alteration and removal of RMIs for commercial gains, which would likely benefit copyright owners including the media and photographers.

## Trademarks

**Expected Changes:** The USMCA requires the Parties to ratify or accede to a number of international trademark treaties, such as the Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks and the Singapore Treaty on the Law of Trademarks. Canada has been working on modernizing its trademark system to ratify these treaties and the new regime is currently anticipated to come into force in early 2019.

**Other Potential Changes:** The USMCA also addresses protection of "collective marks" (marks for members of an associate or collective) and "well-known marks". Whether future legislative changes will be required will likely depend on Canada's position on how these marks could be protected under the upcoming new regime.

## Geographical Indications

**Current Regime:** Geographical indications (GI) are already protected under the current trademark regime in Canada with respect to wines, spirits, and a number of food and agricultural products. The existing regime appears to be consistent with the requirements set out in the USMCA, including the existence of administrative procedures for protecting GIs and for any interested person to oppose or cancel a geographical indication.

## Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights

**Existing Measures:** The USMCA contains provisions pertaining to the enforcement of IP rights and border control measures. It appears that the current regime is largely in compliance with the USMCA, including provisions that relate to the power of the judicial authorities or other competent authorities in handling counterfeit trademark goods or pirated copyright goods.

**In Transit Goods:** Currently, the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) has the authority to detain suspected goods on their own accord without the need for an IP rights owner to report suspected import or export of infringing goods. The USMCA requires that for imports and exports, the competent authorities will have the power to initiate border measures against goods that are in transit and admitted into or exiting from a free trade zone or a bonded warehouse. This will provide brand owners and copyright owners with another potential avenue for enforcement in Canada.

This article is one in a series of analyses and commentaries by BLG's experts on specific aspects of the USMCA text. Our [Intellectual Property](#) and [International Trade and Investment](#) lawyers are well-placed to provide you with practical strategic and operational advice on how the USMCA may affect your business. For more information, please contact the authors.

By

[Jacky Wong](#), [Beverley Moore](#), [Matthew Kronby](#)

Expertise

[Intellectual Property](#), [International Trade & Investment](#), [United States](#)

---

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](http://blg.com)

### BLG Offices

#### Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](http://blg.com/MyPreferences). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](http://blg.com/en/privacy).

© 2025 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.