

B.C.'s Property Law Act Offers A Solution To Feuding Neighbours

February 01, 2005

This article originally appeared in *RealtorLink* (2002) 3:4. Republished with permission. **CAUTION:** The law is current to date of publication of the original article (2002) and has not been updated. The law may have since changed.

High fences make good neighbours. But if the fence, or a building, juts onto the neighbour's property, neighbourly relations may sour. This is especially true where the fence carves off a section of the neighbouring property, or presents a safety or aesthetic concern, as with a dilapidated retaining wall or building.

Section 36 of British Columbia's Property Law Act offers a solution where a building encroaches upon or a fence encloses part of the neighbouring property, and neighbourly relations have broken down. Either the neighbour whose fence or building crosses the property line, or the neighbour whose land is encroached upon, may apply to the Supreme Court for a resolution. The court has the power to order one of three remedies:

1. grant an easement over the part of the property enclosed or encroached upon by the fence or building, and order compensation for the easement;
2. give the encroaching neighbour title to the enclosed or encroached part of the property, and order the payment of compensation; or
3. order the owner to remove the encroaching or enclosing building or fence.

The court will decide whether to grant the order, and decide which of the three options is fairest, based on a "balance of convenience" analysis, along the following lines:

1. Knowledge: Was the encroachment accidental or intentional? The court will be more likely to order removal where the encroaching owner deliberately or deceptively built onto the neighbouring property;
2. Size: How much does the fence or building intrude? If encroachment is minimal, barely affecting property values or use, the court is more likely to grant an easement or transfer of title; and
3. Practicalities: How costly would the removal of the fence or building be for the owner, in terms of expense and use? Where the owner relies on the encroachment, or where the encroachment is solid and permanent, the court is more likely to grant easement or ownership rather than demolition or removal.

The neighbour applying to court generally presents evidence in affidavit form, rather than oral testimony. The evidence must include a survey showing the true property line with the building or fence jutting over it.

The application for relief under the Act is by petition. It is thus generally quicker and less expensive than a full legal action. The entire process can take one or two months between delivery of materials and the hearing.

As with all court proceedings involving a hearing, however, the process is not inexpensive. A prospective purchaser should proactively investigate possible problems **by ordering a survey, especially where the neighbour's wall or building appears to intrude.** If one discovers an encroachment, a negotiated and amicable neighbourly settlement, rather than full-scale litigation, is the best strategy. If no settlement can be reached, **one should move quickly to protect one's property rights; delay will harm a later application under the Act.** Ultimately, a letter from a lawyer, or the delivery of court materials, may convince the intruding neighbour of one's seriousness, and can often resolve the dispute without the full cost of a hearing.

By

[David A. Crerar](#)

Expertise

[Real Estate Disputes & Litigation, Disputes](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.