

# Québec Superior Court Affirms Ignorance Of The Law Does Not Delay Class Action Prescription Period

June 21, 2018

Segalovich v. C.S.T. Consultants Inc.

In the matter of [Segalovich v. C.S.T. Consultants Inc.](#), the Québec Superior Court dismissed the authorization of a class action claiming fees charged to subscribers and contributors of Registered Education Savings Plans (“RESPs”). The Court ruled that the petitioner’s personal claim was prescribed, affirming that ignorance of the law does not delay the beginning of the prescription period.

## Background

The petitioner alleged that the defendants’ practice of charging \$200 for each unit of a RESP plan contravened s.1.1(7) and s.1.1(11) of Regulation no. 15 respecting Conditions Precedent to Acceptance of Scholarship or Educational Plan Prospectuses. He argued that the law only permits a charge of \$200 per RESP plan , even where a plan comprises multiple units.

## Decision

The Court first analyzed the four-pronged test to be applied to an application for authorization of a class action and concluded that the petitioner had an arguable case in asserting that the word “plan” in the relevant sections of the law refers to an entire plan and not to individual units.

That said, the signature of the contract and the actual payment of the fees associated with the RESP occurred more than three years prior to the institution of the proceedings, thereby rendering the petitioner’s claim “prescribed on its face.” To circumvent prescription, the petitioner argued that he was not aware of the legal framework governing his claim until he began investigating the facts underpinning the class action. The Court’s decision affirmed that the petitioner’s justification of ignorance of the law is not to be confounded with an impossibility to act: “Knowledge of the facts on which a

claim can be based is sufficient to commence the prescriptive period, even where the Plaintiff is unaware of the legal basis for his action.”

The class action was therefore dismissed for failing to meet the prescription period.

**Disclosure:** BLG’s Anne Merminod and [Stéphane Pitre](#) acted as counsel for the defendants C.S.T. Consultants Inc. and Canadian Scholarship Trust Foundation.

By

[Stéphane Pitre](#)

Expertise

[Class Actions](#)

---

## **BLG | Canada’s Law Firm**

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

[blg.com](#)

### **BLG Offices**

#### **Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower  
520 3rd Avenue S.W.  
Calgary, AB, Canada  
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500  
F 403.266.1395

#### **Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, ON, Canada  
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160  
F 613.230.8842

#### **Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre  
200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC, Canada  
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744  
F 604.687.1415

#### **Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West  
Suite 900  
Montréal, QC, Canada  
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555  
F 514.879.9015

#### **Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower  
22 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, ON, Canada  
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000  
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing [unsubscribe@blg.com](mailto:unsubscribe@blg.com) or manage your subscription preferences at [blg.com/MyPreferences](#). If you feel you have received this message in error please contact [communications@blg.com](mailto:communications@blg.com). BLG’s privacy policy for publications may be found at [blg.com/en/privacy](#).

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.