# Alberta Courts limit insurers' ability to share information with police

**April 25, 2022**

A recent decision by the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench (the Court or ABQB) affirmed the duty of utmost good faith owed by insurers to their policyholders. In *Barata v Intact Insurance Company*, 2021 ABQB 419 [*Barata]*, the Court stated that there is an implied obligation in every insurance contract that insurers are to deal with claims in good faith and held that disclosure to police by an insurance investigator resulted in a breach of this obligation.

## Background

The Plaintiff and her husband were in her vehicle when it struck and killed a third party; however, they fled the scene before the RCMP arrived. Later that day, the RCMP arrested the Plaintiff's husband, on the assumption that he had been the driver, and charged him with impaired driving causing death and other criminal offences. The Plaintiff's vehicle was insured with the Defendant insurer and the insurer assigned the co-Defendant employee to investigate. In the course of investigations, the Plaintiff admitted to the employee that she had been operating the vehicle and the employee volunteered this information to the RCMP. The RCMP later charged the Plaintiff, but both the Plaintiff and her husband were ultimately acquitted.

The Plaintiff brought an action for damages and the key issue before the Court was whether the Defendants' disclosure breached their owed duties of good faith and confidentiality to the Plaintiff and, if so, whether the disclosure was authorized under the *Personal Information Protection Act*, SA 2003, c P-6.5 (PIPA) or otherwise justified in the circumstances.

## The Court's analysis

In its analysis, the Court noted that an insurer has a duty to investigate a policyholder's claim in utmost good faith and further noted that disclosure to the police of insureds' statements to their insurers, which is compelled by legislation, undermines both the insurance relationship and the insured's right to remain silent.

In this case, the Plaintiff had expressly advised the insurer that she did not permit the insurer to disclose information to the RCMP. Additionally, the co-Defendant employee's disclosure was gratuitous and not related to advancement of the insurer's investigation. Thus, the Court held that this disclosure was not appropriate, that it constituted a breach of the duty of utmost good faith and was not authorized under PIPA or some other justification. However, as the Plaintiff was arrested based on the information provided by her partner, not the Defendants, the Court deemed that she was not entitled to any damages, since the Defendants' disclosure was not the cause of her loss. The Court additionally declared that the Defendants did *not* owe the Plaintiff a duty of confidentiality with respect to the information she provided them and punitive damages would not awarded, as the Court did not find any evidence of bad faith conduct by the Defendants.

Although the disclosure in this case was not authorized or reasonable, the Court recognized that there could be cases where an insurer would be reasonably justified in disclosing some, or all, of its insured's compelled statement to the police, particularly if it is for the purpose of seeking information to assist the insurer's investigation of a claim. Whether such a disclosure would be reasonable will depend on the facts in each case.

# Key considerations

The general principle is that insurers owe their policyholders a duty to investigate claims in utmost good faith. Insurers, and their agents, should take great care in their interactions with the police lest they divulge information that would breach their good faith obligations. Conversely, where such disclosure is necessary to assist with investigation of a claim, it may be reasonably justified, depending on the facts of the case.

Reach out to your trusted advisor at BLG, or one of the key contacts listed below, to discuss how this decision may impact your business operations.

By

Raphael  Jacob, Serine  Fakih

Expertise

Disputes, Insurance Claim Defence

## BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 800 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

**blg.com**

## BLG Offices


**Calgary**

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

**Ottawa**

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

**Vancouver**

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

**Montréal**

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

**Toronto**

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749



The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2026 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.