

Dispute management during COVID-19: Moving from litigation to arbitration

March 30, 2020

The worldwide spread of the COVID-19 virus has affected commercial operations, logistics and finances across industry sectors. The social, health and economic uncertainty caused by the pandemic puts pressure on the limited resources and budgets of individuals and businesses alike. In such circumstances, we can expect the number of legal disputes to increase now and into the future.

Unfortunately, just as the number of legal disputes rises, the capacity of the court system to resolve those disputes has diminished. In virtually all Provinces and federally, courthouses are hearing only the most urgent and time-sensitive matters. Non-urgent matters may ultimately proceed “virtually”, but the necessary systems must first be developed, vetted and implemented. Once courts re-open fully, parties can expect a backlog of cases, in addition to a wave of new claims arising from the COVID-19-related closures, which may contribute to further delays.

Given these challenges, now may be the time to consider alternatives including the arbitration of disputes that are presently in court.

Referring part or all of an existing court dispute to arbitration can offer a number of opportunities:

- Timely hearing of pressing interlocutory or other procedural issues (e.g. injunctive relief or discovery issues);
- Hearing of key substantive issues, such as a limitations defence, to narrow the dispute;
- Immediate flexibility in hearing locations, virtual meetings, or “documents-only” procedures;
- A broad roster of arbitrators, many of whom may now have more availability; and
- The opportunity to return the dispute to courts when the crisis lifts.

Litigation vs. arbitration

Arbitration and litigation share many similarities. In both settings, a third-party adjudicator (the judge or the arbitrator) administers a structured legal process, considers submissions from all parties, and renders a binding decision on the issues in dispute.

Unlike mediation, these litigations and arbitrations result in binding judgments (or awards), rather than negotiated agreements. In the case of arbitration, these rulings are often final.

Arbitration is dissimilar from litigation because it is a form of private dispute resolution. Once parties consent to arbitration, the dispute moves to a private setting where parties can control the legal procedure, including timelines, submissions, confidentiality, exchange of evidence and meeting locations. Where parties are unable to agree on procedures, an arbitrator (or tribunal of arbitrators) selected by the parties determines the applicable process. In essence, the parties have greater control over their own proceedings, which take place before a dedicated tribunal and without requiring court resources.

The private nature of arbitration makes it attractive in the current circumstances. As set out below, arbitration offers parties the opportunity to move part or all of their disputes into a private setting, where they can more easily advance their disputes toward a timely resolution.

Role of arbitration during the COVID-19 crisis

How can arbitration help parties manage, or avoid entirely, the substantial delays currently facing courts?

- a. **Resolve key procedural issues** : parties can use arbitration to resolve time-sensitive procedural issues. For example, if a party requires immediate injunctive relief, both the domestic and international arbitration acts of the Canadian provinces grants arbitrators the power to make such orders. In other words, if the broader substantive dispute is not urgent and can proceed in court notwithstanding the delays, but there is an immediate need for injunctive relief, an immediate and focused arbitration offers an option.
- b. **Resolve key substantive issues** : while the parties wait for the courts to re-open and clear backlog, certain disputes may benefit from a prior narrowing or resolution of key substantive issues. For instance, an otherwise meritorious claim may be the subject of a limitations defence. In an effort to advance the dispute, the parties may choose to refer only that limitations issue to arbitration during the period of court closure. Having this issue determined immediately could determine whether litigation (once available) is even necessary.
- c. **Ensure timely dispute resolution** : though dependent on party conduct, the nature of the claim, and tribunal orders, arbitration can result in a more streamlined and efficient legal process. Arbitrations typically involve narrowed discovery rights (and in the case of international arbitration, no oral discovery at all), flexible timelines, as well as dedicated and available tribunals. In the current conditions, many arbitrators likely have greater availability while judges and courts have less. As a result, parties may consider moving their entire dispute from litigation into arbitration in order to advance the proceedings and potentially set it onto an accelerated timeframe for resolution.
- d. **Flexible forms of dispute resolution** : arbitral tribunals can render their decisions based on an evidentiary record that is proportionate to the nature of the proceedings. In *Desputeaux v Éditions Chouette (1987) Inc*, Supreme Court of Canada confirmed that, in arbitration:

“[t]he methods by which evidence may be heard are flexible and are controlled by the arbitrator, subject to any agreements between the parties. It is therefore open to the parties, for example, to decide that a question will be decided having regard only to the contract, without testimony being heard or other evidence considered.”

For smaller matters, and in circumstances where it is difficult for the parties to meet in person, a “documents-only” arbitration may be sensible. Alternatively, a tribunal may choose to hear certain key evidence “virtually”, while treating less central issues or less valuable claims on a “documents-only” basis. In brief, the option to conduct hearings and hear witness evidence virtually (or not at all) has always been available in arbitration. With an experienced tribunal and cooperative counsel, parties can anticipate particular flexibility in the arbitral process.

Practical steps for considering arbitration

Any decision to proceed with a claim in arbitration requires an arbitration agreement between the relevant parties. Most arbitration agreements are “pre-dispute” agreements, found in underlying contracts. Few arbitration agreements arise “post-dispute” and fewer still are drafted “mid-dispute”. However, preparing an arbitration agreement to move a dispute from litigation to arbitration is possible. Like a pre-dispute arbitration clause, a mid-dispute arbitration agreement should consider, at a minimum, (i) the scope of the intended arbitration; (ii) the seat (or legal situs) of the arbitration; (iii) the applicable procedural rules; and (iv) a method for selecting the arbitrator(s).

While the domestic and international arbitration acts of the Canadian provinces grant parties significant freedom to structure their arbitral proceedings, parties should also remain conscious of non-derogable terms of these Acts. In particular, parties are entitled to an equal opportunity to present their case. For this reason, any mid-dispute arbitration agreement should ensure that steps already taken by one party in litigation are equally available to the other party once in arbitration. Additionally, the selection of the arbitrator should involve party agreement or a fair and balanced process.

With these precautions in mind, arbitration should provide a viable alternative for many parties seeking to advance their dispute during the COVID-19 crisis.

BLG’s [Commercial Arbitration Group](#) has considerable experience in drafting and reviewing arbitration agreements to ensure compliance with local arbitration statutes and a smooth transition into arbitration. For further information or to assess whether arbitration can assist in resolving your dispute, please contact a member of our team below.

Expertise

[Commercial Arbitration, Disputes](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.