

Avoiding Nightmares: Moving from Competition Compliance Training to Compliance Management

July 01, 2017

For conspiracies, bid-rigging and other criminal competition offences, the risk of detection, prosecution and punishment has never been greater – cartel cases are up 3,000% in Canada since 2000. And the costs of contravening the law (or even simply becoming entangled in a criminal investigation) have never been greater: damaging publicity, disruption to business operations, millions of dollars spent conducting internal investigations and defending criminal and civil litigation, multi-million dollar fines, potential incarceration and disqualification from public procurement.

Unfortunately, despite these realities, a surprising number of companies still lack rigorous (or *any*) compliance programs. For those companies with some form of compliance program in place, many continue to rely exclusively on (what the Canadian Competition Bureau refers to derisively as) a "paper and preach" approach, based on (some) training alone.

As recent cases in Canada, the United States and the Europe show, however, by itself, training will not overcome rogue behaviour or the personal incentives that employees perceive that lead to significant problems for companies. Increasingly, competition law regulators, including the Competition Bureau, expect companies to go beyond training and develop a "culture of compliance" with controls and incentives for compliant behaviour.

In its June 2015 *Corporate Compliance Programs Bulletin*, the Bureau has prescribed what it considers the minimum requirements for a "credible and effective" compliance program; specifically:

- Management Commitment and Support
- Risk-based Compliance Assessments
- Corporate Compliance Policies and Procedures
- Compliance Training and Communication
- Monitoring, Verification and Reporting Mechanisms
- Consistent Disciplinary Procedures and Incentives for Compliance
- Ongoing Compliance Program Evaluation

In particular, the Compliance Bulletin makes it clear that having an effective system of internal controls is an essential ingredient of a credible and effective program.

"A corporate compliance program should be tailored to the operations of a business and establish internal controls that reflect its risk profile."

"Compliance policies and procedures should establish internal controls designed to prevent contraventions from happening, scaled to a company's risk profile."

Such controls include the segregation of employee responsibilities to reduce risk, integrating compliance obligations into day-to-day business procedures (including computer systems, forms, reporting systems, contracts and other legal documentation), ensuring that employees who handle purchases from suppliers who are also competitors are distinct from those responsible for sales and/or marketing, requiring approvals before communicating or meeting with competitors (including trade association events where competitors are likely to be present), systems to monitor pricing practices, systems to ensure adequate and proper testing of product claims *before* they are made, dual sign-offs for high-risk business processes and due diligence requirements before engaging with certain third parties.

The Compliance Bulletin also emphasizes that compliance auditing (periodic, *ad hoc* and event-triggered), to proactively identify evolving risk areas (*before* there is a problem), confirm a company's full compliance with competition laws and verify that its compliance program has been properly implemented and is operating effectively (and to update and enhance it when gaps or issues are identified), is also crucial to the credibility and success of any compliance program.

Compliance with the law is no longer just its own reward (if it ever was). The potential benefits of enhancing your compliance program are significant and flow to the bottom line. They include (but are not limited to):

- Maintaining a good business reputation;
- Reducing uncertainty as to what is or is not legal, allowing both more aggressive competition where lawful and reducing the risk of a contravention of the *Competition Act*;
- Avoiding adverse publicity and the disruption to operations resulting from an investigation, prosecution and follow-on class action litigation;
- Saving millions of dollars in legal and expert fees associated with an investigation and litigation;
- Reducing the exposure of employees, management and the company to criminal and civil liability;
- Influencing the Bureau's decision to pursue a matter civilly, rather than criminally, where both options are available;
- Triggering early warnings of potentially illegal conduct thereby allowing the company to be the first-in to request immunity from prosecution or to be better placed to apply for lenient treatment in sentencing;
- Allowing a company to avoid being charged in connection with the unlawful conduct of a rogue employee; and
- Enabling a company to establish a due diligence defence.

Companies that do not have a competition law compliance program should implement one. Those with programs that have not been reviewed or updated for some time should do so in light of the best practices highlighted here. As recent history and current enforcement trends indicate, there is a significant risk that the failure to do so will ultimately inure to the detriment of those who fail to act.

For more information, reach out to us directly, or visit blg.com/competition.

By

[Robert S. Russell](#), [Davit Akman](#)

Expertise

[Competition & Foreign Investment Review](#)

BLG | Canada's Law Firm

As the largest, truly full-service Canadian law firm, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (BLG) delivers practical legal advice for domestic and international clients across more practices and industries than any Canadian firm. With over 725 lawyers, intellectual property agents and other professionals, BLG serves the legal needs of businesses and institutions across Canada and beyond – from M&A and capital markets, to disputes, financing, and trademark & patent registration.

blg.com

BLG Offices

Calgary

Centennial Place, East Tower
520 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, AB, Canada
T2P 0R3

T 403.232.9500
F 403.266.1395

Ottawa

World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street
Ottawa, ON, Canada
K1P 1J9

T 613.237.5160
F 613.230.8842

Vancouver

1200 Waterfront Centre
200 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC, Canada
V7X 1T2

T 604.687.5744
F 604.687.1415

Montréal

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West
Suite 900
Montréal, QC, Canada
H3B 5H4

T 514.954.2555
F 514.879.9015

Toronto

Bay Adelaide Centre, East Tower
22 Adelaide Street West
Toronto, ON, Canada
M5H 4E3

T 416.367.6000
F 416.367.6749

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to constitute legal advice, a complete statement of the law, or an opinion on any subject. No one should act upon it or refrain from acting without a thorough examination of the law after the facts of a specific situation are considered. You are urged to consult your legal adviser in cases of specific questions or concerns. BLG does not warrant or guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of this publication. No part of this publication may be reproduced without prior written permission of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. If this publication was sent to you by BLG and you do not wish to receive further publications from BLG, you may ask to remove your contact information from our mailing lists by emailing unsubscribe@blg.com or manage your subscription preferences at blg.com/MyPreferences. If you feel you have received this message in error please contact communications@blg.com. BLG's privacy policy for publications may be found at blg.com/en/privacy.

© 2024 Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP is an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership.